1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
2	WESTERN DIVISION
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) Docket No. 3:05CR781
4	Plaintiffs, ) Toledo, Ohio
5	v. ) October 13, 2006
6	JOHN A. MARTINEZ, ET AL., ) Sentencing
7	Defendants. )
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING OF JOSE C. MARTINEZ, JR.
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID A. KATZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	APPEARANCES:
13	For the Plaintiffs: Ava Dustin Joe Wilson Office of the U.S. Attorney
14	
15	Four SeaGate, Suite 308 Toledo, Ohio 43604
16	(419) 259-6360
17	For the Defendant,
18	Jose C. Martinez, Jr.: Jane S. Randall 1400 Fifth Third Center 608 Madison Avenue Toledo, Ohio 43604
19	
20	(419) 242-1400
21	Court Reporter: Angela D. Nixon, RPR, CRR
22	1716 Spielbusch Avenue Toledo, Ohio 43624 (419) 260-5259
23	
24	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript
25	produced by notereading.

COURTROOM DEPUTY: 3:05CR781, defendant number 1 2 two, Jose C. Martinez, Jr., sentencing. 3 THE COURT: Hold on just a minute. 4 MS. DUSTIN: Your Honor, the defendant, Jose C. 5 Martinez, Jr. appears in front of you today for sentencing. 6 He's represented by attorney Jane Randall. He was convicted after a jury trial on count one, 7 8 conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to 9 distribute cocaine, and on counts 35, 40, 48, 49 and 50, of unlawful use of communications facility. The Government 10 has reviewed the presentence report and has no objections 11 12 to the sentencing guideline level or the factual content of 1.3 that report. THE COURT: 14 Thank you. Mr. Martinez, have you 15 had the opportunity to read and review with Ms. Randall, 16 your attorney, the presentence report prepared by the 17 probation officer in your case? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: And Ms. Randall, except as reflected 20 in the back of that report and in your sentencing 21 memoranda, the last of which I reviewed this morning, are 22 there any additional issues with respect to the report 23 itself? 24 MS. RANDALL: No, Your Honor. I just would note 25 that there is one additional letter which The Court has

```
been forwarded from his wife which it received this
 1
 2
     morning.
 3
               THE COURT: I have -- I'll get to that in a
 4
    moment.
 5
               MS. RANDALL: Okay.
 6
               THE COURT: I have a letter dated the 10th from
 7
     Rebecca.
 8
               MS. RANDALL: That would be it, I believe.
 9
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Then I'll order
10
     that the presentence report be placed in the record under
11
            Should an appeal be taken in this case, counsel on
     seal.
12
     appeal shall have access to that report, except the
     recommendation section, which is to remain sealed.
1.3
14
               I will note that I have received today and have
    reviewed some letters, I believe I had reviewed previously,
15
16
     I reviewed Rebecca Martinez' letter dated Tuesday of this
17
    week, October 10th.
               I've reviewed the letter from a Marie Cordrector
18
19
     (phonetic) dated June 30, and a letter from The Economic
20
     Opportunity Planning Association dated June -- July 17th,
21
     and finally a letter from Sheriff Steyer of Seneca County
22
     dated the 27th of July. They will be made a part of the
23
     record in this case. And I will speak to them in a few
24
     moments.
25
               In looking at the objections and the addendum to
```

those objections, which I read this morning, I think they were filed this morning.

MS. RANDALL: That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I will agree for reasons I will now THE COURT: articulate, in the request for a base level offense of 32, the presentence report at page 14 paragraph 151, concludes that it is appropriate for a base offense level of 36, based upon drug quantities found by the jury and attendant criminal activity which was testified to at trial. And as we all know, I sat through that trial. And quite frankly, I believe that those drug quantities are probably appropriate. I don't find a significant level of disagreement with the report's conclusion. However, it seems to me that one of the things we strive for in a sentencing, in particular in a case like this, is to be consistent among relatively equally culpable defendants in a -- in a conspiracy; whereas here the jury found both brothers guilty of the primary charges in this case. And that would be Count 1 of the indictment, the conspiracy charge. And where I heard the evidence in this long trial, I have concluded that the -- the base offense level should be the same. And the base offense level in John Martinez's case where the jury heard the same evidence was 32, and I will use a 32 for consistency between those two defendants.

With respect to the second issue which I see,

adjustment for role in the offense, I am equally convinced that both brothers were leaders and should be given a four level increase in their offense level as a result of an organizer and -- and/or leader of five or more participants in the offense. That was clear from the testimony I heard as reflected in this report. That takes us to a level 36 as the total offense level. That results with a criminal history category of one as contrasted to John's criminal history category of three to a sentencing opportunity of 188 to 235 months. I'll hear from Ms. Randall first with regard to the conclusions I've just articulated, and then from The Government.

MS. RANDALL: Thank you. Your Honor, first of all, Joe accepts responsibility for what he did.

Consistently throughout the time I've represented him he has said, I know I have to pay for what I did, I know I have to pay for what I did, I know I have to pay for what I did, he has not shirked from that one iota. As The Court is aware, there were repeated efforts to resolve this case short of litigation, short of trial. They did not succeed. He was conflicted and, in fact, to the extent that our society promotes family values, he was placed with a Hobson's choice, and ultimately, rather than see his dad die in prison, which is what it would have amounted to given The Government's proposed enhancement for his father's plea, he chose --

chose to go to litigation. He made the ultimate sacrifice knowing that he would, in all likelihood, be found guilty, and knowing that as a result it would put this court in a difficult position if it did not sentence him to more time than was offered at the time of the plea opportunity.

Having said that, he faced the Hobson's choice, and he did the right thing for himself morally within the -- within the family. Now, he did this notwithstanding the fact that his father had not been an ideal father to him while he was growing up. He forgave his dad. What had been part of his childhood that may have contributed, probably very well did contribute for this being a situation where he would choose to do what he openly admits that he did.

Having said that, he now faces The Court with gratitude that The Court chooses to interpret the base offense level as it did for his brother at a 36. He begs The Court to pay close attention to the letters that it has beforehand, before The Court, in distinguishing him from his brother and in determining what that sentence should be. He is his brother's keeper, they were in it to some point together. They are different, and where they are different is what message this Court sends to society to people similarly situated to Jose.

The fact that he was extremely hardworking and

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

consistently supported his family, not just as an obligation, but as a privilege and opportunity to be a good dad, cannot be ignored. The fact that he was under additional pressure financially because he wanted to bring his daughter by his first wife into the home, made it an additional strain on the family. He responded to temptation, he's guilty of that, no question about it. did what he did. But it doesn't stop the fact that Joe Martinez, Jr., who is before The Court, is different than his brother and his dad to the extent that he's also coming open minded and as is his mother-in-law and can attest he has done volunteer work for N.I.F.T.I and EEOPA. He's helped old folks with clearing lawns, mowing lawns, shoveling, he's painted houses for the elderly. He's responded when requested to do something for the community. So that before The Court is -- are two Joes, one bad, one not -- not good, I'm sorry, one bad and one better. All of us probably have two sides, and we all want to be viewed in the light most favorable. So he is conflicted, but as his counsel I would beg The Court to focus on the good Joe too, the Joe that did things not for selfishness, but because he succumbed to temptation, the Joe that wanted to be the good dad to his three children, the good dad to his wife and the good husband to his wife, I mean, perfect as we all are. And the Joe that had a

sense of community spirit.

2.2

I believe he's already written to The Court of his hopes for rehabilitation. Joe has always wanted to be better, to have a better life for his family and for himself. He'll pay the price but he's asking when The Court sentence him that he make those distinctions that have been noted in the objections to the report and by letter and sentence him to the amount of time minimally necessary to return him to society as a contributing adult and as a caring father.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Dustin, I'll get back to them later, this is just on the sentence that -- on the level that I came to, if you wanted to address it.

MS. DUSTIN: With respect to the level, the evidence, as The Court has heard during the trial, was substantial in terms of the amount of cocaine that this defendant was responsible for. It's The Government's position that the defendant was, in fact, responsible for a level that would equate him with 70 kilograms of cocaine, because that is what was testified to by Jose Mendez Vargas, who was the courier that brought it from the ultimate source of supply in Texas by Anthony Hobbs. We would note that it was this defendant that was supplying his brother, John Martinez, with cocaine during the time period between 2001 and 2005.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

With respect to the amount of cocaine, it's The Government's position that he should be at a base offense level of 36, and that should be increased for four levels for leader or organizer. We would like to respond to Ms. Randall's other arguments at the appropriate time. THE COURT: We'll get there. Thank you. recognize, as I said earlier, the level of the guidelines based on direct drug attribution, but I believe that the two brothers were equally culpable, and that therefore, a 32 with a four level enhancement for role in the offense is appropriate, and I will sentence at a level 36, criminal history one. Before doing that, I would like to hear if there is anything further from Ms. Randall, and if there is, fine. If there isn't I'd like to hear from Joe. It's not mandatory, but I always like to hear from the defendant. THE DEFENDANT: I've actually wrote something, I didn't really go over it with my lawyer but --THE COURT: That's fine. It's how I feel right now. THE DEFENDANT: THE COURT: You don't have to stand up. Could you speak into the mike, though. THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I would like to apologize to my family, this Court and my community. I feel as if I failed everybody. I'm willing to accept full

responsibility for my actions. I understand that some people make statements against me and some people had two or three chances to change their minds. I guess what I'm trying to say, some of those statements against me were false, but being that they were first to make statements automatically made the statements true. I was willing to cooperate but don't understand why I wasn't given the chance to. I would have gotten the chance to so I could have been back with my family sooner or maybe even gotten my job back at Ford.

If given a chance, Your Honor, I would like to become a certified welder electrician if possible while in prison. Those are my goals. Your Honor, I am 36 years old. I've never really been in any serious trouble. I am hoping for a second chance in life not to walk out those doors but to see if you would please consider the mandatory minimum of -- minimum sentence against me. Once again, Your Honor, I'm very, very sorry.

THE COURT: Thank you, Joe. Ms. Dustin?

MS. DUSTIN: A couple things The Government wants to point out with respect to some comments Ms. Randall made. At the time that we were engaging in pretrial proceedings in this case, all three of the defendants from the Martinez family were, in fact, given opportunities to resolve the case short of trial. And at the very end of

2.2

those proceedings, all three of these defendants met here in this courtroom and decided to -- to go to trial rather than pleading guilty and decided that all -- it was either going to be all three of them went to trial together or all three pled, and it was decided that they would proceed to trial. Nothing prevented this defendant from pleading guilty to the indictment. He certainly could have done that too but he chose to exercise his right and he was convicted.

Ms. Randall's also talked about the fact that this defendant has never been in trouble and that he has no criminal history, but he was the person in the family that was supplying his brother, John, he was the supplier to -- of John from 2001 to 2005. He was the one that had the source of supply out of Texas. He's the one who made the connections. And it was this defendant that was responsible for flooding this community with a substantial amount of cocaine from 2001 until 2005.

Ms. Randall talks about the community service that this defendant has provided. I think that when you reflect upon the community service, all you need do is look out at who's sitting next to each other, it's because of this man that Derek Abitua is sitting here. We have Jose Martinez, Jr., 36 years old who's responsible for bringing cocaine into this community. That cocaine ends up in the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

hands of mid-level distributors like Antwan Wynn. Antwan Wynn in turn sells it to lower level distributors like Derek Abitua who's barely an adult. That's the community service that this man has provided over the course of the last five years. And for those reasons, we ask that this court sentence the defendant to 235 months. THE COURT: Thank you. MS. RANDALL: Your Honor, my client would like an opportunity to respond. Could I have a minute to hear what he's going to say before he says it? (A brief discussion was had off the record.) THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I guess I was always taught that every mind -- my mother always told me that every mind is -- is its own world. What my brother did, that was his business. And I think in the phone conversations there was one phone conversation between me and my brother. If we were in it together as much as people say we were, I think there would have been more conversations involved than just one conversation between me and my brother. They're going by what one man, a third-time felon has said against me, all the people that talked against me. Now, the numbers aren't right because The Government says that, okay, Jose Vargas said he brought 20

to 40, well Anthony Hobbs said 70. Now, who's lying?

Somebody's not telling the truth because Jose Vargas said 20 to 40 and that's on paper. If that's my supplier and Anthony Hobbs is the person I'm going to and he's saying 70, somebody's not telling the truth.

Now, The Government will say there's a guy by the name of Mario, maybe there was a guy by the name of Mario, but the owner of these drugs, which Alejandro Correra, he was also dealing with other people here in Toledo, and there is a confidential source against me, and I know that because of my indictment, the discovery papers show that.

Like I said, the only person I ever spoke to was Jose

Mendez Vargas, and he in court said 20 to 40 and was given two or three different chances to change his mind.

Now we got Anthony Hobbs who knows the system, third time getting caught and says well, you know what 70. He knows that more drugs lesser time for him. And that's what I want to say. And that's the only thing I have to say, Your Honor, could you please consider that, you know what, his credibility is not the greatest and they're going by a higher number.

I'm sorry, and as far as my brother goes, he's old enough to do what he wants. The only time I ever seen my brother is at family gatherings on Sunday at my mom. I always worked, I've always took care of my kids, I worked afternoons. And whatever my brother did, he did on his

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Whatever my father did, he did on his own. Like I said, there's one phone conversation between me and my brother. I think if we were partners in crime or whatever, I think we would have kept more tabs on each other more often. They got all the phone conversations. They've got I don't know how many conversations, the conversations just me and my mother, me and my wife, me and my kids, me and my brother because we both worked at Ford. I called my brother to see what lines were running. I called my sister what was she packing for lunch, that's what my phone calls consisted of. All these five phone conversations, two are of them with Twan, two with Jose Vargas and one with Ruben Vargas, not one I was charged with against my own brother. I think if we were organized crime as organized crime family as they say we were, I think we'd keep tabs with each other more than one phone conversation. And it was a week and a half of phone conversations and they heard the conversations, I heard the conversation, they consist of me calling my daughter, my wife, my mother, my sister, my brother. It was not that -- they tapped my phones and they heard the conversations, even with Twan. The phones were tapped for a minute, they got two conversations that I'm being charged with for Twan. They got one conversation between me and Ruben Vargas, and there was 30 days of phone recordings. That's the only thing I have to say, Your

Honor. 1 2 MS. DUSTIN: Nothing further. 3 MS. RANDALL: I think if I might just summarize. 4 Mr. Martinez feels that The Government's take on the 5 criminal activity that was occurring here is other than his 6 experience. THE COURT: The most difficult and stressful part 7 8 of any judge's obligation is that of sentencing. And my 9 experience is no less, no different. I sat through the trial, and I'm clothed with the same information that was 10 11 the jury. The sad thing about criminal activity are two 12 truths: 13 One, human beings never realize what they have until it's about to be taken from them. 14 And two, the most adversely impacted of all are 15 16 the family for which there is generally little or no blame, 17 upon which there is generally little or no blame. 18 Fortunately or unfortunately, except in one or two very 19 rare cases, we can't take that into consideration in 20 sentencing. This is not one of those occasions. 21 I've read with interest all of these letters that 2.2 I've received over the months, but in particular your wife 23 Rebecca's. And I noticed immediately a couple of things. 24 The obviously high level of intelligence and the ability to 25 put into words what is in feeling intellectually and

emotion, and I've read that. Also understand that some of the things you've done, and I must say because of for whom they were done, it was under her influence, are recognized in the difference between your criminal history and that of your brother, and a significant difference in the sentences that both of you receive. That's driven by one thing and one thing only, criminal history. And I'm taking that already into consideration.

And I've taken into consideration in giving you the same level, 32 as your brother, that the jury verdict and the testimony could justify clearly a higher level.

I've looked at the guidelines and I've looked clearly and extensively at 3553A.

I've looked at your background, and that background, outside of criminal activity of which there is little except in this case, is exemplary. And with all due respect to you, sir, I attribute a lot of that to a woman by the name of Rebecca to whom you are fortunate enough to be married. But I've looked at the nature and extent of this conspiracy over at least a four-year period and the adverse impact it had on this community. And I'm taking that into consideration in my sentencing, and your involvement in it.

And I think there is a need for punishment, I think there is a need for, in particular, not so much

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

punishment, as disabling you from participating in the drug culture and feeding that drug culture with distribution. That will protect the public. I also believe it's important, as you yourself have indicated, that you need time for rehabilitation and training. And unfortunately for you, that is an extended period of time. I've looked at all of these things, and it is beyond my ken how, with the evidence that the jury had, you could expect much less than what I am going to sentence you to serve. Unfortunate for you and your family but as you yourself has said, it was you who chose to participate in it. You are separate from your brother, you each had free will. I'm going to announce the sentence now after which you and your counsel and The Government will all have an opportunity to react to it. Excuse me for just one moment. I'll now announce that sentence. Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 and 18 United States Code section 3553(a), it is my judgment that the defendant, Jose C. Martinez, Jr., is hereby committed to the custody of Bureau of Prisons for -- to be imprisoned for a term of 220 months on Count 1 and a term of 48 months on each of Counts 35, 40, 48, 49 and 50, all to be served concurrently. recommend to the bureau of prisons that he be designated to a facility as close to Toledo, Ohio, as possible, preferably that federal facility at Milan, Michigan, and

that he be given an opportunity to have training as an electrician and welder so as to become certified in those or either of those two endeavors. He should also be given credit for time served in federal custody on this crime.

Upon release from imprisonment, you shall be placed on supervised release for a term of five years, consisting of five years on Count 1 and three on each of the other five counts, all to be served concurrently. Within 72 hours of release from the custody of The Bureau of Prisons, you shall report in person to the probation office in this district, this division or to any other district in which you are released.

While on supervised release, you shall not commit another federal, state or local crime, shall not illegally possess a controlled substance, shall comply with all standard conditions adopted by this court and with the following additional conditions: You shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance, and submit to one drug test within 15 days of commencement of supervision, and to at least two periodic drug tests thereafter at times determined by your probation officer. You shall not possess a firearm, destructive device or any dangerous weapon. You shall submit your person, residence, place of business, computer or vehicle to a warrantless search conducted and controlled by your United States

Probation Officer at a reasonable time in a reasonable manner, based upon that officer's reasonable suspicion of the presence of contraband or a violation of the condition of supervised release. Failure to submit to such a search may be grounds for revocation of supervised release. And you shall inform other residents in your premises that the premises may be subject to a search without a warrant subject to this provision.

You shall participate in an outpatient program approved by the United States Probation Office, pardon me, for the treatment of drug and/or alcohol abuse which will include testing to determine if you have reverted to substance abuse. You shall cooperate in the collection of DNA, as directed by your probation officer. You shall --well, based upon my review of your financial condition as set forth in the report I've received and reviewed, I find that you did not have the ability to pay a fine and will waive a fine in this case. If you are convicted of another violation of distribution -- of a controlled substance, you will be declared ineligible for federal benefits for a period of -- of five years.

Finally, you shall pay to the United States a special assessment of \$600, which is due and payable immediately. Any objections to the sentence?

MS. DUSTIN: The Government does take exception

with respect to the base offense level, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 MS. RANDALL: Only those as already noted. THE COURT: Very good. Then I'll order that they 4 5 be -- that sentence be imposed as just stated on the 6 record. Mr. Martinez, you have the right to appeal your 7 8 conviction and/or your sentence, particularly if you feel 9 that the sentence is contrary to law, or if you feel that 10 there is a -- an error or errors in your case, including 11 trial. Any such appeal must be taken within ten days after 12 this matter is released -- reduced to a judgment entry filed with the clerk of this court. Any such notice of 13 14 appeal filed within ten days in the clerk's office on the first floor of this courthouse will be done if you direct 15 16 your attorney to do so. If on appeal you cannot afford an 17 attorney, one will be appointed for you by the Court of 18 Appeals for The Sixth Circuit. 19 I'll order that you be remanded to custody 20 pending designation to an institution by The Bureau of 21 Prisons, and that a written record of my reasons for 22 sentencing be provided to both The Bureau of Prisons and 23 the probation department in this district and division. 24 Anything further from The Government? 25 MS. DUSTIN: No, Your Honor.

```
1
               THE COURT: Anything further from the defendant?
 2
               MS. RANDALL: He just was confirming that The
     Court is going to request Milan and it did?
 3
 4
               THE COURT: Yes.
 5
               THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
 6
               THE COURT: Yes, I have already placed that on
 7
     the record and Cindy will place it in the entry, a copy of
 8
     which goes to The Bureau of Prisons and The Sentencing
 9
     Commission. That concludes this hearing.
10
               MS. RANDALL: Thank you, Your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: Thank you.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
4	from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
5	
6	
7	
8	Angela D. Nixon, RPR Date
9	
LO	
L1	
L2	
L3	
L <b>4</b>	
L5	
L6	
L7	
8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	